ORIGINAL TO GENERAL FILES

D.O.T. 66

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STATE OF GEORGIA

INTERDEPARTMENT CORRESPONDENCE

FILE

EDS-545(30) Jefferson County

OFFICE Preconstruction

P. I. No. 222150

DATE

December 15, 1998

FROM

C. Wayne Hutto, Assistant Director of Preconstruction

TO

SEE DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT PROJECT CONCEPT REPORT APPROVAL

Attached for your files is the approval for subject project.

CWH/cj

Attachment

DISTRIBUTION:

Walker Scott

Bobby Mustin

David Studstill (ATTN: Harvey Keepler)

Jerry Hobbs

Herman Griffin

Marta Rosen (ATTN: Michael Henry)

Marion Waters

Toni Dunagan

Paul Liles

Don Mills

Mike Thomas

Jim Kennerly

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STATE OF GEORGIA

INTERDEPARTMENT CORRESPONDENCE

FILE

EDS-545(30) Jefferson County

OFFICE Preconstruction

P.I. No. 222150

DATE

December 7, 1998

FROM

Walker W. Scott Jr., P.E., Director of Preconstruction

TO

Wayne Shackelford, Commissioner

PROJECT CONCEPT REPORT **SUBJECT**

This project is the widening and reconstruction of the Louisville Bypass (US 1/SR 4 & 17) from US 1 Bus/SR 4 Bus to CR 325/Old US 1 for a total of 4.10km. The existing roadway consists of 2, 3, and 4 lanes with rural shoulders. The existing right-of-way varies from 39.6m to 61.0m. This project is part of the Governor's Road Improvement Program (GRIP) and involves multilaning of SR 4 along the US 1 corridor. Moreover, the roadway is reaching capacity and improvements will be required to maintain an acceptable level of service. The base year traffic (1997) varies from 6,200 VPD to 12,000 VPD and the design year traffic (2017) varies from 9.700 VPD to 19,100 VPD. The posted speed varies from 65-70km/h and the design speed is 70km/h.

The proposed construction will provide two, 3.6m lanes in each direction separated by a 6.0m raised median for the entire project length. The proposed right-of-way varies from 42.0m to 76.0m. No design exceptions are required to implement this project. The southern and northern termini of this project ties into project EDS-545(29) Jefferson County and project EDS-545(31) Jefferson County, respectively. Traffic will be maintained utilizing stage construction.

Environmental concerns include requiring a COE 404 permit; an Environmental Assessment will be prepared; a public hearing is required; time saving procedures are not appropriate.

The estimated costs for this project are:

| | PR | <u>COPOSED</u> | <u>AP</u> | <u>PROVED</u> | PROG DATE | LET DATE |
|---|-----|----------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|----------|
| Construction (includes E&C and inflation) | \$4 | ,134,000 | \$2, | 700,000 | 1999 | LR |
| Right-of-Way | \$ | 136,000 | \$ | 271,000 | | |
| Utilities* | \$ | 175,000 | \$ | 175,000 | | |

Wayne Shackelford Page 2

EDS-545-(30) Jefferson December 7, 1998

*LGPA sent 3-92 requesting Jefferson County be responsible for utility relocation costs; City of Louisville signed LGPA for utilities on 4-2-92.

The US 1 improvements are part of the GRIP. This project will be designed in English units. I recommend this project concept be approved.

WWS:JDQ/cj

Attachment

CONCUR_

Frank L. Danchetz, P.E., Chief Engineer

APPROVE

Wayne Shackelford, Commission

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STATE OF GEORGIA

INTERDEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

GIA

RECEIVED

OCT 1 4 1998

OFFICE: Atlanta, Georgia ONSTRUCTION

FILE:

EDS-545(30) Jefferson

P.I. Number 222150

DATE:

October 13, 1998

FROM:

Bob Mustin, Project Review Engineer

TO:

Wayne Hutto, Assistant Director of Pre-construction

SUBJECT: CONCEPT REPORT

> We have reviewed the concept report submitted October 9, 1998 by the letter from David Studstill dated October 8, 1998, and have no comments.

The costs for the project are:

| Construction | \$3,28 | 1,000 |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|
| Inflation | \$ 32 | 8,000 |
| E&C | \$ 36 | 1,000 |
| Preliminary Engineering | \$ 16 | 4,000 |
| Reimbursable Utilities | \$ 17 | 5,000 |
| Right of Way | \$ 13 | 6,000 |

DTM

c: David Studstill

PROJECT CONCEPT REPORT

EDS-545(30) JEFFERSON COUNTY P.I. NO. 222150

Federal Route No.:


1

Date of Report:

September 16, 1998

State Route No.:

| | RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL | |
|------------------------|---|---|
| <u>9/28/98</u> Date | State Environmental/Location Engineer | |
| Date | Project Review Engineer | |
| Date | State Road & Airport Design Engineer | · |
| Date | State Traffic Operations Engineer | |
| Date | District Engineer/Tennille | |
| Date | State Transportation Planning Administrator | |
| Date | State Transportation Programming Engineer | |



PROJECT CONCEPT REPORT

PROJECT NUMBER: EDS-545(30), Jefferson County

PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

Project EDS-545(30) in Jefferson County is proposed to improve the Louisville Bypass. From the beginning of the project at US 1 Business south of Louisville to CR 325/Old US 1 in Jefferson County, US 1 would be widened on the east side to four lanes with a 6.0 m raised median and curb and gutter. The length of the project is 4.1 km.

| CUF | RRENT | PRO | JECTED |
|----------|------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| YEAR | AADT | YEAR | AADT |
| 1997 | 6200 - 12,000 | 2017 | 9700 - 19,100 |
| PDP CLAS | SIFICATION | FUNCTIONAL | CLASSIFICATION |
| | SIFICATION n on Existing Location | | CLASSIFICATION cipal Arterial |
| | | Rural Prin | |

NEED AND PURPOSE

SR 4 is a primary north-south corridor in eastern Georgia. The proposed projects, EDS-545(29), (30), (31) & (32) involves the widening and reconstruction of SR 4 from the Wadley Bypass to SR 88 in Wrens. The roadway is reaching capacity and improvements will be required to maintain an acceptable level-of-service. The project will increase the capacity and level-of-service on SR 4 by widening it from two lanes to four lanes.

The US 1/SR 4 Improvements are part of the Governor's Road Improvement Program(G.R.I.P.). G.R.I.P. was initiated in the 1980's in order to address the importance of stimulating economic growth via an improved transportation network. It identified a system of economic development highways that consist of existing primary routes, plus additional truck connector routes. The system would place 98 percent of the state's population within 20 miles of a multi-lane highway. It would provide access for oversized trucks to cities having populations between 2,000 and 5,000. Among the many benefits of such a system, areas lagging in growth would be provided greater opportunities to attract industry, business and jobs.

Georgia is anticipated to remain a growth state through the 1990's. The demands created by population and economic growth will spill over onto the non-Interstate highway systems that form a critical link for both large and small communities in the state, making highway access a prime requisite for community growth in the future. Currently, limitations on trucks restrict access for many Georgia communities, limiting economic potential. The Governor's Road Improvement Program would provide access to communities previously denied service by the larger trucks. Based on the experiences of the Georgia Department of Industry and Trade, if two cities are competing for an industry, the city closest to a four lane roadway will attract the industry in most instances.

EXISTING ROADWAY

| TYPICAL SECTION: | 4 - 3.6 m lanes w/12.2 m median | RIGHT-OF-WAY WIDTH Varies 39.6 m to 61 m |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| POSTED SPEED | MINIMUM RADIUS OF CURVE | MAX GRADE |
| 70 km/h | 582 m | 0.30% |
| TYPICAL SECTION: | 2 - 3.6 m lanes Rural | RIGHT-OF-WAY WIDTH 39.6 m |
| POSTED SPEED | MINIMUM RADIUS OF CURVE | MAX GRADE |
| Varies 65 - 70 km/h | 582 m | 2.63% |
| TYPICAL SECTION: | 3 - 3.6 m lanes Rural | RIGHT-OF-WAY WIDTH 39.6 m |
| POSTED SPEED | MINIMUM RADIUS OF CURVE | MAX GRADE |
| 70 km/h | N/A | 3.01% |

MAJOR STRUCTURES

| FEATURES INTERSECTED/TYPE | LENGTH | WIDTH | PRIORITY RATING | SUFF. RATING |
|---------------------------|--------|-------|--------------------|-----------------|
| None | _ | _ | - | - |

PROPOSED ROADWAY

LENGTH OF PROJECT: 4.1 km

TYPICAL SECTION: - 3.6 m lanes w/6.0 m Raised Median/Urban

| DESIGN SPEED | MINIMUM RADIUS OF CURVE | | MAX. 0 | GRADE |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| 70 km/h | ALLOWABLE | 175 m | ALLOWABLE | 6.00% |
| | PROPOSED | 585 m | PROPOSED | 3.01% |

PROPOSED MAJOR STRUCTURES

| FEATURES INTERSECTED/TYPE | LENGTH | WIDTH |
|---------------------------|--------|-------|
| None | - | - |

PROPOSED RIGHT-OF-WAY

| | | | ***** | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| RIGHT-OF-WAY WIDTH | PARCELS IMPACTED | | DISPI | LACEMENT | S |
| Varies 42 m to 76 m | Approx. 27 | RES.: | 0 B | US.: 0 | M.H.: 0 |
| TYPE OF ACCESS CONTRO | L: By Permit | | | | |
| | COO | RDINATION | | | |
| CONCEPT TEAM MEETING | DATE: Dec | ember 13, 199 | 5 | | |
| PERMITS REQUIRED: | 404 | | oximately 0.1 ha of clude EDS-545(29) | | uld be impacted. ourpose of achieving |
| LEVEL OF PUBLIC INVOLV | EMENT: Publ | lic Hearing | | | |
| TIME SAVING PROCEDURE APPROPRIATE: | S No | | | | |
| OTHER PROJECTS IN THE | AREA: EDS | S-545(21)(29)(| 31)(32) Jefferson C | ounty. | |
| | MISC | ELLANEOUS | 8 | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL DURIN | G CONSTRUCTION: T | raffic to be m | aintained on existin | g roads. | |
| LEVEL OF ENVIRONMENTA | AL ANALYSIS: E | invironmental | Assessment | | |
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONCEI | b c d e f. | purpose of a No eligible la required. No known T No known e No parks wi No cemeteric | tal Document will in this chieving logical term istoric resources in the E species involving ble arch. Sites known that the project limits. The ses within project limits and the changes project changes project limits. | nini. npacted. 106 red at this tim nown at this t nits. | e. |
| UNDERGROUND STORAGE | TANKS: | Jone known; I | nvestigation request | ted 1/29/96. | |
| HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES | : . | lone known; I | nvestigation request | ed 1/29/96. | |
| DESIGN VARIATIONS REQU | ESTED: | | | | |
| | | YES | NO | UN | DETERMINED |
| SUBST HORIZ ALI | | () | (X) | | () |
| SUBST ROADWAY | | () | (X) | | () |
| SUBST SHOULDER | WIDTH | () | (X) | | () |

()

SUBST VERTICAL GRADES

(X)

(X)

()

()

| SUBST CROSS SLOPES | () | (X) | () |
|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| SUBST STOPPING SIGHT DIST | () | (X) | () |
| SUBST SUPERELEV RATES | () | (X) | () |
| SUBST HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE | () | (X) | () |
| SUBST SPEED DESIGN | () | (X) | () |
| SUBST VERTICAL CLEARANCE | () | (X) | () |
| SUBST BRIDGE WIDTH | () | (X) | () |
| SUBST BR STRUCT CAPACITY | () | (X) | () |

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

1. No Build.

ESTIMATED COST

| CONSTRUCTION: | \$ 3,281,000 | RIGHT-OF-WAY: | \$ 136,000 |
|------------------------|--------------|---------------|---|
| E & C (10%): | 328,000 | ACQUIRED BY: | Not known at this time; LGPA will be requested |
| INFLATION: | 371,000 | UTILITIES: | \$ 175,400 |
| (2 yrs at 5% per yr): | | ADJUSTED BY: | Not known at this time; LGPA will be requested |
| TOTAL CONS'T COST: | \$ 3980,000 | | |

COMMENTS

- 1. The 404-b(1) review process has not been completed for this project. This alignment could shift.
- 2. None of the vertical alignment for the existing roadway would require reconstruction to meet the proposed design speed.
- 3. This project is on the Statewide Bicycle Transportation Network.

ATTACHMENTS: Cost Estimate, Typical Sections, Team Meeting Minutes

PREPARED BY: Ken Thompson, L.E. III

PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE

| DATE | May 27, 1998 | | |
|-------------|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| PROJECT NA | AME US 1 Improvements | | |
| PROJECT NO | EDS-545(30), Jefferson County | | |
| P.I. NO. | 222150 | | |
| | ESCRIPTION: US 1 Improvements to the Louisville Bypass from CR 325 north of town. | om US 1/ | /SR 4 Bus. |
| PROJECT L | ENGTH: 2.56 miles | | |
| TYPICAL SE | CTION: 4 lanes with 20' raised median Urban | | |
| EXISTING R | OADWAY (IF APPLICABLE) 2 and 3 lane Rural | | |
| TRAFFIC: | EXISTING Varies 6100 - 12,000 ADT DESIGN Varies in 1997 | es 9700 - in 20 | 19,100 ADT 017 |
| () FEASIBII | LITY STUDY (X) PRE-PROGRAMMING () PI PROCESS | ROGRA PROCE | MMING CSS |
| | PROJECT COSTS | | |
| A. RIGHT | OF WAY | | |
| 1. PRO | PERTY (LAND AND EASEMENTS) | \$ | 75,000 |
| 2. DISI | PLACEMENTS | \$ | |
| 3. OTH | HER COSTS | \$ | 61,000 |
| | SUBTOTAL | · \$ _ | 136,000 |
| B. REIMBU | URSABLE UTILITIES | | |
| 1. RAI | LROAD | \$_ | |
| 2. TRA | NSMISSION LINES | \$_ | 20,000 |
| 3. SER | VICES | \$ | 155,400 |
| | SURTOTAI | . \$ | 175 400 |

| C. | MAJOR STRUCTURES | | |
|----|---|---------|--|
| | 1. WALLS | \$ | |
| | 2. BRIDGE STREAM CROSSINGS | * | |
| | 3. BRIDGE OVER/UNDERPASS | \$_ | |
| | 4. BOX CULVERTS | · \$ | ······································ |
| | SUBTOTAL | \$_ | - 0 - |
| D. | GRADING AND DRAINAGE | | |
| | 1. EARTHWORK | \$ | 131,000 |
| | 50,700 cy U.E.; 5600 cy Rock | | |
| | 2. DRAINAGE | | |
| | a. Minor Drainage (Incl. Cross Drain Pipes and Longitudinal System) | \$_ | 404,000 |
| | b. Curb and Gutter | · \$ | 502,000 |
| | 54,067 lf | - | |
| | SUBTOTAL | \$ _ | 1,037,000 |
| E. | BASE AND PAVING | | |
| | 1. AGGREGATE BASE | \$ | 323,000 |
| | 25,950 T - 12.0" GAB | - | |
| | 2. ASPHALT PAVING | \$ | 916,000 |
| | 29,020 T - 1.5" E; 2.0" B; 7.0" ACB | | |
| | 3. CONCRETE PAVING | \$ | |
| | 4. OTHER | \$ | 123,000 |
| | SURTOTAL | • | 1 362 000 |

| F. | LUMP ITEMS | |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------|
| | 1. TRAFFIC CONTROL | \$ 49,000 |
| | 2. CLEARING AND GRUBBING | \$ 200,000 |
| | 44 acres | |
| | 3. LANDSCAPING | \$ 41,000 |
| | 4. EROSION CONTROL | \$ 49,000 |
| | 5. DETOURS (INCL. TEMP. BRIDGES) | \$ |
| | SUBTOTAL | \$ 338,000 |
| G. | MISCELLANEOUS | |
| | 1. SIGNING/STRIPING | \$ 94,000 |
| | 2. GUARDRAIL | \$ |
| | 3. OTHER | \$ 205,000 |
| | SUBTOTAL | \$ 299,000 |
| | | |
| Н. | SPECIAL FEATURES | \$ 245,000 |
| | 1850' of side road relocations | |


ESTIMATE SUMMARY

| A. | RIGHT OF WAY | \$ | 136,000 |
|----|------------------------|----|---------|
| B. | REIMBURSABLE UTILITIES | \$ | 175,400 |

CONSTRUCTION COST SUMMARY

| C. | MAJOR STRUCTURES | \$ | - 0- |
|----|----------------------------------|----|-----------|
| D. | GRADING AND DRAINAGE | \$ | 1,037,000 |
| E. | BASE AND PAVING | \$ | 1,362,000 |
| F. | LUMP ITEMS | \$ | 338,000 |
| G. | MISCELLANEOUS | \$ | 299,000 |
| H. | SPECIAL FEATURES | | 245,000 |
| | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | \$ | 3,281,000 |
| | E. & C. (10%) | \$ | 328,000 |
| | INFLATION (2 YRS. @ 5% PER YEAR) | \$ | 371,000 |
| | TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | \$ | 3,980,000 |

GRAND TOTAL PROJECT COST \$ 4,291,400



from US 1/SR 4 Business south of town EDS-545(30) JEFFERSON COUNTY to CR 325 north of town LIMITS SECTION APPLIES US 1 IMPROVEMENTS Louisville Bypass

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STATE OF GEORGIA

INTERDEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

FILE EDS-545(29)(30)(31)&(32) OFFICE Environment/Location

Jefferson County

P.I. Nos. 222120; 222150;

DATE December 20, 1995

222160; & 222170

FROM

enneth E. Thompson, TE III, Location Engineer

TO

Distribution Below

CONCEPT TEAM MEETING MINUTES - U.S. 1 Improvements in Jefferson County SUBJECT

> Wednesday, December 13,1995; 10:00 A.M. Date/Time:

Conference Room of the Office of Environment/Location Place:

Attending:

Mayor J.J. Rabun and Wayne Davis representing the City of Wrens; Mayor John Veatch and Don Rhodes from the City of Louisville; Wynder Smith, Ozzie Hannah and George Smith of Jefferson County; Sue McCuskey, Law Engineering & Environmental Services, Inc.; Luke Cousins, DOT Intermodal Programs; Kevin Hosey, Road Design; Del Clippard, Traffic Operations; Reba Scott, Programming; John Lord, Right-of-Way; Debbie Pennington, Tennille District; Jerry Hobbs, Ken Thompson, Gerald Welsh, Dalton Stevens, John Richard, Dania Aponte, Michelle Cain and Laurie Cotton of Environment/Location.

The meeting was opened by Jerry Hobbs who gave a brief description of the projects. The projects were then described in detail by Ken Thompson.

Project EDS-545(29)(30)(31)(32) in Jefferson County is proposed to improve U.S. 1 from the north end of the Wadley Bypass to the proposed relocation of S.R. 88/Fall Line Freeway in Wrens. EDS-545(29) would begin at C.R. 183 in Wadley. U.S. 1 would be widened on the west side to four lanes with a 44'(13.6m) grassed median to C.R. 248. At that point, the widening would shift to the east side of U.S. 1 and continue northward to U.S. 1 Business in Louisville, where EDS-545(30) would begin. From there, the proposed median would taper and U.S. 1 would be widened on the east side to C.R. 325. The typical section would be four lanes with a 20'(6m) raised median and curb and gutter. At C.R. 325 EDS-545(31) would begin. The widening would continue on the east side to just north of C.R. 304, where the typical section would change to four lanes with a 44'(13.6m) median, then continue approximately one mile. At that point, the widening would shift to the west side to avoid a historic resource then shift back to the east side to avoid another resource at C.R. 142. Just north of C.R. 142 the widening would shift to the west side and continue to just south of S.R. 296, then shift back to the east side and continue northward approximately 1.5 miles (2.4km). At that point, the proposed widening would shift to the west side for approximately 0.7 mile(1.1km), then shift

back to the east side and U.S.continue to C.R. 138, where EDS-545(32) The widening would continue for approximately 0.1 would begin. mile(0.2km), then extend on new location west of 1 to avoid two historic resources and a cemetery. The alignment would intersect C.R. 126 approximately 700'(213m) west of U.S. 1 and return to the existing road at C.R. 127. U.S. 1 would be widened on the west side to just south of C.R. 329, then shift to east side widening to approximately 0.2 mile(0.3km) south of C.R. 129 South. From there, the proposed median would taper and the widening would continue on the east side to approximately 0.3 mile(0.5km) north of C.R. 129 South. The typical section would be four lanes with a 20'(6m) raised median. At that point, the widening would become symmetrical and continue to C.R. 129 North, where curb and gutter would be utilized to the end of the project.

The combined lengths of the projects is 21.6 miles(34.8km). Traffic volumes are projected to range from 6000 ADT - 12,000 ADT in 1997, and 9500 ADT - 19,100 ADT in 2017. Proposed right-of-ways would vary from 130'(40m) - 137'(42m) in the urban areas, and 187'(57m) - 270'(82m) in rural areas. Existing bridges on these projects would be widened and parallel structures would be added to accommodate the proposed four lane typical section. The speed design is 55 mph(89 kph) in rural areas and 45 mph(72 kph) in urban areas. Access would be by permit along existing roadways and partial controlled on new location. Approximately 18 acres(7 ha) of possible wetlands would be impacted, and 13 houses and 6 businesses would be displaced by these projects.

After review of the concept, the following comments were made:

Local Officials

Comment: Would there be any provisions along the Louisville Bypass(U.S.
1) to accommodate trucks turning left onto S.R. 24?

Response: Left turn storage lanes would be implemented along the bypass to get turning vehicles out of the travel way at intersections and thereby increase safety along the bypass.

Comment: When would construction begin?

Response: If funding is available and the projects run according to schedule, construction is currently scheduled to begin in FY 99 for (29) & (30) and FY 2000 for (31) & (32).

Comment: The U.S. 1/Walnut St. intersection has been a problem for years. It should be signalized when U.S. 1 is widened.

Response: The level of protection required for all intersections would be studied when the project reaches the design phase. Signalization of the Walnut St. intersection would be given consideration at that time.

Comment: Assorted utilities might require relocation. There is a pumping station located on the east side of U.S. 1 just inside the north city limits of Louisville. New gas and water lines run along the east side of U.S. 1 from the pumping station to the new school at C.R. 138. Bell South

has a fibre optic cable that runs along the west side of U.S. 1 in the City of Louisville.

Comment: The Louisville-Wadley Railroad has been abandoned and has not been in operation for some time.

Road Design

•

Comment: Units (31) & (32) are on the list of proposed Bike Routes. The proposed width of shoulders may have to be increased to accommodate bicycle traffic.

Comment: These projects will be designed by consultants.

Intermodal Programs

Comment: Provisions have been made to extend the runway at the Louisville Airport. Vertical and horizontal clearances between proposed roadway and runway should be checked.

E.M.G.

Comment: Mapping photography for these projects will be flown next season.

E.A.B.

Comment: These will be NEPA turn-key projects. Environmental studies will be completed by consultants.

KET/kt

Distribution: Wayne Hutto

Ronald Collins/Attn: Warren Bailey Herman Griffin/Attn: Terry Rogers

Jim Kennerly

Toni Dunagan/Attn: Cindy Van Dyke

Marion Waters
Bobby Mustin
Dudley Ellis
David Meshberger
Larry Seabrook
Bascombe Hughes
Harvey Keepler

Charles Norris/Tennille District

Luke Cousins

PROJECT CONCEPT REPORT

EDS-545(30) JEFFERSON COUNTY P.I. NO. 222150

Federal Route No.:

1

Date of Report:

September 16, 1998

State Route No.:

| RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 9/28/98 Date /0/13/98 Date | State Environmental/Location Engineer Bul Mustin Project Review Engineer | | | |
| Date | State Road & Airport Design Engineer | | | |
| Date | State Traffic Operations Engineer | | | |
| Date | District Engineer/Tennille | | | |
| Date | State Transportation Planning Administrator | | | |
| Date | State Transportation Programming Engineer | | | |

PROJECT CONCEPT REPORT

EDS-545(30) JEFFERSON COUNTY P.I. NO. 222150

Federal Route No.:

1

Date of Report:

September 16, 1998

State Route No.:

| | RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL | |
|------------------------|--|--------|
| <u>9/28/43</u> Date | OJE Ltlll/ State Environmental/Location Engineer | - |
| Date | Project Review Engineer | - |
| Date | State Road & Airport Design Engineer | |
| Date | State Traffic Operations Engineer | · - |
| Date (C/14/98 Date | District Engineer/Tennille Joseph Company of the C | • · |
| Date | State Transportation Programming Engineer | |

RECEIVED

Department of Transportation State of Georgia

ODY 1 & 1998

PRECONSTRUCTION

INTERDEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

File: EDS-545 (30), Jefferson Co.

P.I. No. 222150

Office: Traffic Operations

Atlanta, Georgia

Date: October 14, 1998

From: (Naters, III, P.E., State Traffic Operations Engineer

To:

Wayne Hutto, Assistant Director of Preconstruction

Subject: Project Concept Report Review

We have reviewed the concept report on the above project for the proposed widening of SR 4/ US 1 along the Louisville Bypass. The existing two and three lane roadway will be widened to a four lane urban section with a 6 m raised median. While we believe this concept will improve safety and operational capacity along this section of roadway. We recommend increasing the 6 m median width to at least 8.4 m (28 ft.) at all median openings to allow the left turn lanes to be offset or aligned directly across from each other and increase sight distance for permissive left turn movements. Where no possibility of median openings exist the median could remain the proposed width. We also recommend this project provide for a conduit system to be used in the expansion of our ATMS network. Our Design Office can provide you with cost estimates and plan details. With these recommendations we find this report satisfactory for approval.

MGW:CKE

Attachment (signature page)

c: David Studstill
James Kennerly
Bob Mustin, w/ attachment
Toni Dunagan
Karl Alff
Sam Zeigler
General Files

PROJECT CONCEPT REPORT

EDS-545(30) JEFFERSON COUNTY P.I. NO. 222150

Federal Route No.:

1

Date of Report:

September 16, 1998

State Route No.:

| RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 9/28/9B Date | State Environmental/Location Engineer | | | |
| Date | Project Review Engineer | - | | |
| Date 10-15-98 Date | State Road & Airport Design Engineer Maria Hualen H State Traffic Operations Engineer | - | | |
| Date | District Engineer/Tennille | | | |
| Date | State Transportation Planning Administrator | | | |
| Date | State Transportation Programming Engineer | | | |

PROJECT CONCEPT REPORT

EDS-545(30) JEFFERSON COUNTY P.I. NO. 222150

Federal Route No.:

1

Date of Report:

September 16, 1998

State Route No.:

| | RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL | |
|------------------------------------|--|--------------|
| 9/28/98 Date B/22/96 Date | State Environmental/Location Engineer And Manual M | |
| Date Date | State Road & Airport Design Engineer | - |
| Date | State Traffic Operations Engineer | |
| Date | District Engineer/Tennille | - |
| Date | State Transportation Planning Administrator | - |
| Date | State Transportation Programming Engineer | - |

PROJECT CONCEPT REPORT

EDS-545(30) JEFFERSON COUNTY P.I. NO. 222150

Federal Route No.:

1

Date of Report:

September 16, 1998

State Route No.:

| | RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL | |
|------------------------|---|----|
| <u>9/28/43</u> Date | OJE Ltttl/ State Environmental/Location Engineer | |
| Date | Project Review Engineer | |
| Date | State Road & Airport Design Engineer | |
| Date | State Traffic Operations Engineer | |
| <u>/1/2/98</u> Date | Michael L. Thomas District Engineer/Tennille Dro | |
| Date | State Transportation Planning Administrator | ~4 |
| Date | State Transportation Programming Engineer | |

| | C | OCR 770N |
|--------|-------|----------|
| MONTH_ | March | 1992 |

REVISION REQUEST FOR THE CONSTRUCTION WORK PROGRAM

In accordance with the Board Resolution dated August 16, 1973, Board approval is requested to revise the Construction Work Program for the project and activity outlined below:

| STUR THE T | ROSECT AND AC | ITATEL OOTETH | TO DELCH. | Programme and the second | er in the end of the contract | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------|
| [xx] | ADDITION TO T | he Program | * ' | | | |
| [] | DELETION FROM | THE PROGRAM | [] PE | [] ROW [|] CONST. | |
| [] | SHIFT IN THE | Program | • — | | To FY | |
| [] | CHANGE IN COS | T ESTIMATE | | | | |
| [] | OTHER | | | | | |
| PROJECT DAT | -A_ | | | | | |
| COUNTY | PROJECT NO P.I. No. | . Typ | | Descript | ION | |
| Jefferson EDS-545(30) Widen & Reconstruct S.R. 4, 222150 (4-lanes) From CL of Lo | | | | S.R. 4/U.S l, CL of Louisvil h to CR 325 (MI | lle (ML 11.73 | |
| Fund $1 = 315$ | | | | Length = 2.71 | miles | |
| Estimated ((\$1,000's | | _ | Fiscal Year | Cong. | FIELD DISTRICT | |
| PE ROW \$271 | X | | 1994 | | | |
| CONST _{\$2,700} REASON FOR | REVISIONS: | | 1995 | 8 | 2 | |
| To add this January 31, | project as reque | ested by the Dir | rector of Pr list of 1993 | reconstruction 3 GRIP Projects | on | |

RECOMMENDED

DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING

RECOMMENDED

COMMISSIONER

